

Erratum: New methods of testing Lorentz violation in electrodynamics

Michael Hohensee,^{1,2,*} Alex Glenday,^{1,2} Chih-Hao Li,² Michael Edmund Tobar,³ and Peter Wolf⁴

¹*Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA*

²*Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA*

³*School of Physics, the University of Western Australia,*

35 Stirling Hwy, Crawley 6009, Western Australia, Australia

⁴*LNE-SYRTE, CNRS, UPMC, Observatoire de Paris, 75014 Paris, France*

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

In an earlier paper [1] (hep-ph/0408006), the bound on the Standard Model Extension photon-sector parameter $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ [2] (hep-ph/0205211) set by the heavy-ion storage-ring experiment of Saathoff et al. [3] was incorrectly reported. We show that the correct bound on $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ which resulted from this experiment is in fact 2.2×10^{-7} .

In the original derivation of the leading order Lorentz-violating effects of $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ to the Ives-Stilwell observable $\nu_a \nu_p / \nu_0^2$, second order and higher terms in $\beta_{at} = v_{at}/c$ were neglected [1]. In this limit, and apart from time-varying, frame-dependent factors of order unity, a nonzero $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ produces a shift of the Ives-Stilwell observable that is proportional to $4\beta_{at}\beta_{lab}\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$. For terrestrial experiments, we take $\beta_{lab} \sim \beta_{\oplus}$, noting that the laboratory's velocity relative to the preferred (Sun-centered) frame is dominated by the Earth's orbital velocity, $\beta_{\oplus} \sim 10^{-4}$ [6].

For Saathoff and collaborators in [3], however, $\beta_{at} \simeq 6 \times 10^{-2}$ is far larger than $\beta_{\oplus}$. Because of this, we must consider terms proportional to β_{at}^2 . Noting that (equation (10) of [1])

$$\nu_p = \nu_0 \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{at}^2}}{1 - \beta_{at}(c/c_p)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_a = \nu_0 \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{at}^2}}{1 + \beta_{at}(c/c_a)}, \quad (1)$$

then to second order in β_{at} ,

$$\frac{\nu_p \nu_a}{\nu_0^2} = 1 + \beta_{at} \left(\frac{c}{c_p} - \frac{c}{c_a} \right) + \beta_{at}^2 \left(\frac{c^2}{c_a^2} + \frac{c^2}{c_p^2} - \frac{c^2}{c_a c_p} - 1 \right). \quad (2)$$

*Thanks David Phillips and Itay Yavin for helpful discussions.

Further expanding the second order term to first order in $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$, we find that

$$\beta_{at}^2 \left(\frac{c^2}{c_a^2} + \frac{c^2}{c_p^2} - \frac{c^2}{c_a c_p} - 1 \right) = 2\beta_{at}^2 \kappa_{tr} \quad (3)$$

with no time-variation due to the Earth's changing velocity in the Sun-centered frame. Combining (3) with the result of [1] we obtain, to lowest order in κ_{tr} ,

$$\frac{\nu_p \nu_a}{\nu_0^2} = 1 + 2\kappa_{tr} [\beta_{at}^2 + 2\beta_{at}\beta_{\oplus}(\dots)] + O(\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}^2), \quad (4)$$

where as specified in equation (12) of [1] (...) represents sinusoidally varying terms of order unity. In the limit $\beta_{at} \gg \beta_{\oplus}$, the β_{at}^2 "DC" term dominates the time-dependent sidereal term. Thus the previously derived equation (12) in [1] is only valid when $\beta_{at} < \beta_{\oplus}$. Upon comparison to the RMS [4, 5] parameter $\hat{\alpha}$ (see equation (2) of [3]) bounded by Saathoff et al., we find that in the limit that $\beta_{at} \gg \beta_{\oplus}$, a bound on $\hat{\alpha}$ is equivalent to a bound on $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$. We therefore conclude that the limit set on $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr}$ by [3] is $\tilde{\kappa}_{tr} < 2.2 \times 10^{-7}$.

-
- [1] M. E. Tobar, P. Wolf, A. Fowler, and J. G. Hartnett, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 025004 (2005).
 [2] V. A. Kostelecky and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 056005 (2002).
 [3] G. Saathoff, S. Karpuk, U. Eisenbarth, G. Huber, S. Krohn, R. M. Horta, S. Reinhardt, D. Schwalm, A. Wolf, and G. Gwinner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 190403

- (2003).
 [4] H. P. Robertson, Rev. Mod. Physics **21**, 378 (1949).
 [5] R. Mansouri and R. U. Sexl, Gen. Rel. Grav. **8**, 497 (1977).
 [6] There are also contributions to β_{lab} from β_r , the component of the lab's motion due to the Earth's spin, but this is far smaller than $\beta_{\oplus}$.